

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,141	09/01/2000	Richard Marks	375.11.01	5784	
25920 7	590 11/26/2002				
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWA SUITE 170			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N		
SUNNYVALE	c, CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0	
•	09/654,141	MARKS, RICHARD		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	FRANCIS NGUYEN	2674		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communica DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	ı.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-11, 21</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12, 18-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		•		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application	ation).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •			
Attachment(s)	-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 10/11/00 as paper # 3 is not found in the file record. The examiner proposes duplicate sent by Applicant via Examiner faxsimile (703 746 5850).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: improper phrase {entire?partial?} in page 29, claim 21, line 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).



4. Claims 1, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norton et al. (US Patent 5,704,836).

As to **claims 1 and 18,** Norton et al. discloses an input device (command system 20, column 8, lines 7-18) for providing a signal to effect translational and/or rotational movement of an object on a graphical display, associated method and associated article of manufacture, comprising:

a device for capturing video images (optical detector unit 22 as shown in figure 1, column 9, lines 2-8);

an input image processor (subject positioning unit 46 as shown in figure 2) programmed to

- (a) isolate the human form from the background in the captured video image (
 area of the frame 90 is isolated from buffer zone 96, column 12, lines 1-8)
- (b) determine the position and movement of the human body (movement of arm, leg, hand, column 2, lines 37-41)
- (c) generate an output signal responsive to the position and/or movement of the human arms (movement of user left arm causing a character in a game to move to left indicates a translational movement, column 25, lines 15-17); and an output image processor programmed to effect translational and or/rotational movement of an object on a graphical display in response to the signals received from the input image processor (control system 34 as shown in figure 2, column 10, lines 59-66).

Art Unit: 2674

As to claim 19, the article of manufacture of claim 18 wherein the signal generated by the program of instructions is used to generate the state of a flight simulator graphical display (see

the same citation for claim 18). It is noted that intended use of a flight simulator is still within

scope of a graphical display taught by Norton et al. (display unit 36 including a graphical

user interface incorporating any type of graphic, column 8, lines 25-34)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

manner in which the invention was made.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

6. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norton et al.

in view of Freeman (US Patent 5,454,043).

As to claim 2, Norton et al. teaches the input device of claim 1 wherein the output image

processor changes the graphical display but does not teach said change according to the

perspective of what a flying object would see. Freeman teaches gesture recognition by a video

camera that scans the hand 16 of an individual 18, determining the position of the icon (

column 5, lines 61-67, figure 1 showing a flying object 10 moving in direction similar to

that of hand gesture in motion of an individual). It would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus taught by

Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

Norton and modify the recognition software to include the gesture recognition which changes the display of an icon that denotes a flying object as taught by Freeman to obtain the apparatus Norton et al. modified by Freeman, because it will result in realistic simulation of an object on display that is in flying motion.

As to claim 3, Norton et al. teaches the input device of claim 1 (see same citation for claim 1) wherein the output image processor generates a graphical display but fails to teach graphical display of a flying object whose position and motion are responsive to the signal output by the input image processor. Freeman teaches gesture recognition by a video camera that scans the hand 16 of an individual 18 that determines the position of the icon (column 5, lines 61-67, figure 1 showing a flying object 10 moving in direction similar to that of hand gesture in motion of an individual). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus taught by Norton and modify the recognition software to include the gesture recognition which changes the display of an icon that denotes a flying object as taught by Freeman to obtain the apparatus Norton et al. modified by Freeman, because it will result in realistic simulation of an object on display that is in flying motion. Note that apparatus Norton modified by Freeman now teaches a flying object (Freeman, airplane icon 10 of figure 1) with position and motion dependent to signal output (hand gesture of individual) by the aforementioned input image processor.

Art Unit: 2674

7. Claims 4-5, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norton et al. in view of Tsutsuguchi et al. (US Patent 6,072,494).

As to claim 4, Norton et al. teaches a method for generating signals to effect translational and/or rotational movements of an object on a graphical display (display unit 36 including a graphical user interface incorporating any type of graphic, column 8, lines 25-34) , comprising:

providing an image processor and a device for capturing video images (subject positioning unit 46 as shown in figure 2, optical detector unit 22 as shown in figure 1, column 9, lines 2-8);

capturing video images with the device and processing those images to isolate a human form from a background (area of the frame 90 is isolated from buffer zone 96, column 12, lines 1-8)

However, Norton et al. fails to teach steps for calculating the arm position and movement data; generating a signal responsive to the arm position and movement data for effecting translational and/or rotational movement of an object on a graphical display. Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches steps for calculating arm position and movement data (joint position determination 22 and angle calculation 24 of figures 2 and 5, column 3, lines 9-65, column 5, lines 47-67, column 8, lines 8-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the method taught by Norton et al., then modify image processing software to include routine software for calculating arm position and movement data as taught by Tsutsuguchi et al. to obtain the combined method Norton et al. modified by

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/654,141

Art Unit: 2674

Tsutsuguchi et al., because it would enhance precision of translational and/or rotational movement of an object on display. Note that method Norton et al. modified by Tsutsuguchi et al. now generates a signal responsive to the arm position and movement data for effecting translational and/or rotational movement of an object on a graphical display.

Note also that method Norton et al. modified by Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches step for isolate the arm portions of the human form (Norton et al., area of the frame 90 is isolated from buffer zone 96, column 12, lines 1-8, note Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches motion modeling selected according with processing efficiency and/or required reality, column 8, lines 40-42);

As to claims 5 and 20, Norton et al. teaches a method for generating signals to effect translational and/or rotational movements of an object on a graphical display (display unit 36 including a graphical user interface incorporating any type of graphic, column 8, lines 25-34), comprising:

providing an image processor and a device for capturing video images (subject positioning unit 46 as shown in figure 2, optical detector unit 22 as shown in figure 1, column 9, lines 2-8);

capturing video images with the device and processing those images to isolate a human form from a background (area of the frame 90 is isolated from buffer zone 96, column 12, lines 1-8)

However, Norton et al. fails to teach steps for calculating the arm position and movement data;

Art Unit: 2674

generating a signal responsive to the arm position and movement data for effecting translational and/or rotational movement of an object on a graphical display. Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches steps for calculating arm position and movement data (joint position determination 22 and angle calculation 24 of figures 2 and 5, column 3, lines 9-65, column 5, lines 47-67, column 8, lines 8-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the method taught by Norton et al., then modify image processing software to include routine software for calculating arm position and movement data as taught by Tsutsuguchi et al. to obtain the combined method Norton et al. modified by Tsutsuguchi et al., because it would enhance precision of translational and/or rotational movement of an object on display. Note that method Norton et al. modified by Tsutsuguchi et al. now generates a signal responsive to the arm position and movement data using the image processor for effecting translational and/or rotational movement of an object on a graphical display.

Note also that method Norton et al. modified by Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches step for isolate the arm portions of the human form from a captured video image using the image processor (Norton et al., area of the frame 90 is isolated from buffer zone 96, column 12, lines 1-8, Tsutsuguchi et al. teaches animation generation apparatus 20 in figure 2)

As to claim 12, claim 12 differs from claim 5 by phrases "for use in a flight simulator graphical display", "for use in generating the state of a flight simulator graphical display" (see the same citation for claim 5 because it is noted that intended use of a flight simulator is still within scope

of a graphical display taught by Norton et al. (display unit 36 including a graphical user interface incorporating any type of graphic, column 8, lines 25-34).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6-11, 21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claims 6-11 and 21, none of prior art discloses a method for generating signals to effect translational and/or rotational movements of an object using human arm position and/or movement data, comprising isolating a view comprising a foreground object subject image view by performing an algorithm on the video sequence and the frame that does not include the person, computing the arm angles by calculating angles of principle moment of the nonzero pixels in the arm portions of the video image. Tsutsuguchi et al. only teaches arm angle calculation using linear interpolation and nonlinear interpolation techniques.

Claims 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claims 13, 15-17, none of prior art teaches a method for generating signals to effect translational and/or rotational movements of an object using human arm position and/or movement data, wherein the flight simulator graphical display includes as an object a flying creature that moves wings.

As to claim 14, none of prior art teaches a method for generating signals to effect translational and/or rotational movements of an object using human arm position and/or movement data.

Art Unit: 2674

141

Page 10

wherein the flight simulator graphical display depicts a change in perspective of what a flying creature would see.

Conclusion

9. The prior art of made of record is not relied upon, but pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

US Patent

Petrich et al.

6,104,379

Reference Petrich et al. is made of record as it discloses a forearm supported exoskeleton hand-tracking device

The nonprior art of made of record is not relied upon, but pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

US Patent Application Publication

Russell et al.

US2002/0135581 A1

Reference Russell et al. is made of record as it discloses a method and system for controlling an avatar using computer vision wherein arm movement of a user acts as effector information to control an avatar on display.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCIS N NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703 308-8858. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Page 11

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

FRANCIS N NGUYEN

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

FN

November 22nd, 2002